



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/712,481	09/712,481 11/13/2000		Kyoichi Watanabe	SCH2000	9803	
20786	7590	09/17/2003				
KING & SP			EXAMINER			
191 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30303-1763				CRANE, LA	WRENCE E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1623	Ø	
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No 09/712,4	481 Watanabe et a		be et al.				
	Examiner L. E. C	rane	Group Art Unit 1623					
- THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address -								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the pr a reply be filed after six months from the date  - If the prior for reply specified above is less that th considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, such pe communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period (35 USC §133).	FION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1 of this communication of this days, a replying the shall of the shall, by default,	.136(a). In no eve on. y within the statutor expire SIX (6) MO	nt, however, may ry minimum of thirty NTHS from the date	days will be e of this				
Status								
<ul> <li>[X] Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>[] This action is FINAL.</li> <li>[] Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice.</li> </ul>	wance except for f	ormal matters, <b>p</b> ı	rosecution as to	the merits is				
Disposition of Claims								
<ul> <li>[X] Claims1-55 are pending in the app Of the above claim(s)[] is/are withd</li> <li>[] Claim(s)[] is/are allowed.</li> <li>[] Claims[] are rejected.</li> <li>[] Claim(s)[] is/are objected to.</li> <li>[X] Claims1-55 are subject to restriction</li> </ul>	lrawn from conside	ration.	elled.					
Application Papers  [] See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's  [] The proposed drawing correction, filed on  [] The drawing(s) filed on -[]- is/are objected  [] The specification is objected to by the Example of the proposed of the pro	-[]- are [] approve to by the Examine aminer.	ed [] disapproved	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)  [] Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).  [] All [] Some* [] None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been [] received.  [] received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) -[]  [] received in the national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received: -[]								
Attachment(s)								
[X] Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  [X] Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892  [] Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi		[] Interview Summary, PTO-413 [] Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 [] Other: _[]						

Copy for FILE [ ] APPLICANT

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent Trademark Office

5

15

20

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.67(a) identifying this application by its Serial Number and filing date is required. See MPEP 602.01 and 602.02. The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the residence address of the second inventor.

No claims have been cancelled and no preliminary amendments filed as of the date of the instant Office action. Two (2) Information Disclosure Statements (IDSs) have been received with all cited references and made of record.

10 Claims 1-55 remain in the case.

Claim 1, 4, 6, 8-14, 17-20, 34, 36 and 38-40 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 at line 5, the second chemical formula is technically incorrect. The heterocyclic ring should be amended to represent -- 3-pyrrolyl\*-- See also claims 4, 6, 9, 12, 18, 34, 36 and 38 wherein the identical error reoccurs.

In claim 8 at line 4, the 2'-substituent {  $R^6S(=O)_m$  } of the compound shown is technically incorrect. Because subsequent claims require formation of the 2'-substituent by displacement with, for example, thioacetate, the substituent should be --  $R^6$ -(C=O)-S- -- wherein  $R^6$  is CH<sub>3</sub>. The present 2'-substituent incorrectly represents a sulfide, a sulfoxide or a sulfone substituent. See also claims 9-11, 12-14, 17-20 and 38-40 wherein the identical error reoccurs.

Appropriate correction is required.

5

15

20

25

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

- I. Claims 1-2, drawn to a method of making 2'-deoxy-L-nucleosides by contacting a 2'-O-thioacylated or a 2'-acylated nucleoside with tributyl tin hydride, classified in Class 536, subclass 027.110.
- II. Claims 3-7, drawn to a method of making 2'-deoxy-L-nucleosides by contacting a 2'-halonucleoside with hydrogenolysis conditions, classified in Class 536, subclass 027.110.
- III. Claims 8-21, 45 and 53, drawn to a method of making 2'-deoxy-L-nucleosides by contacting a 2'-S-thioacylated nucleosides with Raney nickel, classified in Class 536, subclass 027.110.
  - IV. Claims 22-25 and 46, drawn to a method of making 2'-deoxy-L-nucleosides by contacting an L-furanose with a 2'-α-(purinyl or pyrimidinyl)thio substituent with conditions promoting formation of a cyclonucleoside which is then subsequently desulfurized with Raney nickel, classified in Class 536, subclass 027.110.
  - V. Claims 26-31 and 50-51, drawn to a method of making 2'-deoxy-L-nucleosides by contacting a 2'-\beta-L-ketonucleoside with hydrazine plus hydroxide or other conditions which duplicate the results of a Wolf-Kischner reduction, classified in Class 536, subclass 027.110.
  - VI. Claims 32-41, 42 and 54-55, drawn to a method of making 2'-deoxy-L-nucleosides by a complex synthetic process wherein enantiomeric reduction of a 4', 5'-unsaturated intermediate or 4'-carbon epimerization of an alternative intermediate produces a  $\beta$ -L-nucleoside from an  $\alpha$ -L-nucleoside, classified in Class 536, subclass 027.110.

5

10

1 5

20

25

VII. Claims 43, drawn to a method of making 2'-deoxy-\beta-L-purine nucleosides via base exchange by contacting a 2'-\beta-L-deoxypyrimidine nucleoside with a purine, classified in Class 536, subclass 027.110.

Claims 44 and 47 link inventions I, II and III and will be examined with the elected invention to the extent to which they apply.

Claims 48 and 52 link inventions II and III and will be examined with the elected invention to the extent to which they apply.

Claims 49 links inventions III and IV and will be examined with the elected invention to the extent to which they apply.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they

5

10

15

20

2.5

have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions I and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions I and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the

5

2.5

instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions II and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

5

10

15

Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions III and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions,

5

20

2 5

or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions IV and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Inventions **V** and **VII** are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

5

10

1 5

20

2.5

Inventions VI and VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together, or they have different modes of operation, or they have different functions, or they have different effects. (MPEP §§ 806.04 & 808.01). In the instant case the inventions have different modes of chemical synthesis operation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and they have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Ms. Sherry L. Knowles on September 16, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor if at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(h).

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 via facsimile transmission(FAX). The transmission of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette (1096 OG 30,

November 15, 1989). The telephone numbers for the FAX machines operated by Group 1600 are (703) 308-4556 and 703-308-2724.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner L. E. Crane whose telephone number is 703-308-4639. The examiner can normally be reached between 9:30 AM and 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. James O. Wilson, can be reached at (703)-308-4624.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

LECrane:lec **09/16/03** 

L. E. Crane, Ph.D., JD

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

5

10